# HUMAN PARTICIPANTS REVIEW SUB-COMMITTEE (HPRC) Protocol Form

### Who should complete this Protocol Form?

All faculty members (including contract, adjuncts, and seconded) who are conducting funded or un-funded, minimal or more than minimal risk\* research that involves the use of human participants, must complete this Protocol Form. Students who are conducting <u>funded</u> minimal or more than minimal risk research that involves the use of human participants must also complete this form. This includes all experiments, interviews, and participant observation. If you are a student and your research is non-funded AND minimal risk, please consult with your Department Chair's, Graduate Programme Director's or Faculty Dean's office to discuss the approval process for your research.

#### How long will the review process take?

The average time to process minimal risk protocols is approximately twenty working days from the date of receipt in the Office of Research Ethics (ORE). **INCOMPLETE OR ILLEGIBLE PROTOCOLS WILL BE RETURNED TO THE RESEARCHER, WHICH WILL DELAY THE PROCESS.** 

### **Online Ethics Review System**

If you would like to submit your protocol using the Online Ethics Review System, please click on the following link: <u>http://www.yorku.ca/research/support/documents/#ethics</u>. Please note that the system is currently only accessible to faculty members and requires a York Passport Account. A signed hardcopy of your application is <u>not</u> required if you are submitting your protocol via the online system.

### Who can I contact if I have any questions?

Please contact the Coordinator, Research Ethics Review, Office of Research Ethics at ext.55201 or (wjokhoo@yorku.ca).

\*The HPRC uses the definition of minimal risk as outlined in the SSHRC/NSERC/CIHR *Tri-Council Policy Statement "Ethical Conduct for Research involving Humans*" (December 2010): "If potential subjects can reasonably be expected to regard the probability and magnitude of possible harms implied by participation in the research to be no greater than those encountered by the subject in those aspects of his or her everyday life that relate to the research then the research can be regarded as within the range of minimal risk" (p. 1.5). An expanded version of this definition is available from ORE upon request.

Please submit a signed HPRC Form, with attachments to: Secretary, Human Participants Review Sub-Committee Office of Research Ethics 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Kaneff Tower \*\*A signed hardcopy is <u>not</u> required if you are using the Online Ethics Review System

Checklist:

Original HPRC form signed (6 copies are NO longer required)

Consent statement is attached (informed consent form, letter, online consent or verbal statement)

Interview questions/ questionnaire or survey/ advertisements, flyers, posters

Additional Documentation (Ethics approval certificates/ letters of permission from other institutions or departments) \*\*

\*\* Please visit our <u>website</u> for Guidelines on:

- Research in an Online Environment
- Research Conducted by External Researchers
- Research in Hospital Clinical Settings
- Research in Educational Settings
- Research Involving Minor Age Participants
- Research with People who are Homeless
- Data Security Guidelines

- Ethical & Hazard Identification Guideline for Classroom and Research Projects Conducted at York University
- Research Involving Aboriginal Peoples
- Aboriginal Research Checklist for Researchers
- Invasive Procedures

Note: Protocols involving Invasive Procedures and/ or the collection of human bodily fluids will NOT be accepted for review unless the Health & Safety Checklist is completed and all relevant documentation is attached (e.g. Biosafety Permit, Proof/ Certification of delegation of the controlled act by the relevant registered Health Professional, Radiation Safety Permit).

# PART A - GENERAL INFORMATION

# A. Name of Principal Investigator(s):

## **B.** Department and Home Faculty (or Research Centre/Institute):

Campus Mailing Address:	Extension:	E-mail:
-------------------------	------------	---------

### C. Names of any other persons involved in the data collection:

	Name	Role	Institution/ Research Centre
1.	not yet known	focus group facilitator	York
2.	not yet known	transcription assistant	York
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			

## **D.** Status of Principal Investigator:

- York Faculty Member
  - Graduate Student
- Undergraduate Student
- Other:

If student, please provide course director's or supervisor's name:

E. Title of Research Project: <u>University-Bound Secondary School Students</u>: <u>Their Understanding of</u> <u>Information Literacy Concepts and Preparedness for First-year Research Essays and Assignments</u>

## F. Is this research defined:

Minimal Risk Non-minimal Risk (Please see (\*) footnote on first page for definition of minimal risk.)

G. If your research involves the use of human tissue/ blood/ body fluid and/or invasive procedures, please refer to the Submission and <u>Ethics Review Guidelines</u> for Research Involving Invasive Procedures and/or Collection of Human Bodily Fluids confirm whether Biosafety approval is in place:

Yes - Please append a copy of your approval certificate to your application
No - HPRC protocol cannot be reviewed until the ACOBS approval certificate is in place.

Not applicable

For more information on Biosafety please contact the Occupational Health Coordinator & Biosafety Officer, Phone: x44745

# H. If your research involves the use of radioactive materials and/or radiation exposure, please confirm whether Radiation Safety approval is in place:

Yes - Please append a copy of your approval certificate to your application
No - HPRC protocol cannot be reviewed until the Radiation approval certificate is in place.
Not applicable

For more information on Radiation training please contact the Radiation Safety Officer (RSO), Department of Occupational Health and Safety, x44745

# I. Does your research involve Aboriginal/ Indigenous Peoples?

Yes – Please complete and append a copy of the '<u>Checklist for Researchers'</u>. Your protocol will first be reviewed by the Aboriginal Research Ethics Review Advisory Group.
No

Additional Comments (Optional): Secondary schools in the Greater Toronto Area will have Aboriginal / Indigenous students. I am not intentionally seeking out such students nor intentionally excluding such students from the study.

# J. <u>Is this a revised version of a protocol previously reviewed by the HPRC?</u>



If yes, please explain:

## K. Approximate dates for proposed study:

Start: September 2015

**End:** August 2016, though data may be mined in subsequent months

L. Is any anticipated

## funding for this project from internal (i.e., York University) sources?

$\times$	Yes
	No

If yes, what is the funding source?: Librarians Research Grants

# M. Is any anticipated funding for this project from any external (i.e., outside York) sources?

☐ Yes ⊠ No

If yes, what is the funding agency and/or program?:

# **PART B - RESEARCH INFORMATION**

# 1. In layperson's terms, please provide a general and brief description of the research (e.g., hypotheses, goals and objectives, etc.).

University-bound secondary school students may or may not be able to handle the research, specifically the library research, component of first-year assignments. This study seeks to understand:

- students' knowledge of information literacy concepts (i.e. authority, relevance)
- their strategies for finding information suitable for academic work
- under what conditions they seek help from experts (teachers, teacher-librarians, etc)
- their understanding of expectations for library research in grade 12 and in first-year university.
- 2. State who the participant(s) will be (e.g., experimental subjects, interviewees, community members to be observed, etc.). Please provide details about the research subjects that are relevant to your particular research (number, age, sex, students, children, businesspeople, government employees, etc.). Also discuss the relationship of the researchers to the prospective subjects (e.g., teacher, parent, advisor, stranger, etc.).

This study will use a mixed-methods approach. The following are components of the research.

1) Focus groups: participants will be secondary school students. Three or four focus groups will be held, with 6-8 members each.

2) Interviews: 10-12 secondary school students. Some may be recruited from the focus groups, others through teachers, teacher-librarians or the public library. Interviewees will be from several schools, equal or close to equal number of females / males and all intending to enrol in predominantly social sciences or humanities courses in fall 2016.

3) Interviews: 5-6 secondary school teachers of social sciences or humanities subjects.

4) Interviews: 5-6 teacher-librarians and librarians working in public libraries. Recruited through Ontario Library Association and / or Toronto Public Library and / or colleagues in York University Libraries.

5) Unobtrusive observation: secondary school students in selected "Teen Zones" of Toronto Public Library branches, after school or during lunch breaks.

# **3.** (a.) How will participants be recruited (e.g., snowball technique, random sampling, previously known to interviewer, telephone solicitation, etc.)?

Student participants will be recruited through advertising and recommendation of teachers or teacherlibrarians.

Teachers, teacher-librarians and public librarians will be recruited through the Ontario Library Association, Toronto District School Board, Toronto Catholic District School Board, Peel Region School Board and /or Dufferin-Peel Catholic School Board and/ or word-of-mouth.

## (b.) Will you be using any advertisements, flyers, posters etc.?

 $\boxtimes$  Yes - *If yes, please attach a copy with your application.*  $\square$  No

4. Will you be offering inducements to participate (e.g., money, gift certificates, academic credit, etc.)?



*If yes, please elaborate:* 

Students will receive a gift certificate to their local mall. Value to be determined -- between \$25-\$35.

5. What exactly will be required of the participant(s) (e.g., answer a formal questionnaire, respond to interview questions, engage in a free-ranging discussion, undergo any medical procedures, etc.)? If applicable, please attach any research instruments (e.g., sample interview questions, questionnaires, etc.).

Students in the focus groups will be asked to engage in free-ranging discussions about three key issues: how they currently find information for academic work; what they imagine university expectations are for research; and under what conditions they will seek "expert" help in pursuit of academic goals. Interview questions for teachers-librarians and librarians and for students are attached.

6. What, if any, are the risks to the participants? Or, 🖂 No risks:

# 7. What, if any, are the benefits to the participants?

Students will gain an appreciation of the variety of resources available to them at a university and also develop an awareness of the higher expectations for research. And the gift certificate. Teachers and librarians will be given the final report for use in any curriculum or programming being developed at their schools or public libraries.

- 8. Is there a possibility of an apparent, actual or potential conflict of interest on the part of researchers, the University or sponsors? (E.g. commercialization of research findings; self-funded research)
  - ☐ Yes ⊠ No

If yes, please elaborate and outline how the potential or real conflict of interest will be addressed:

9. This section pertains to issues around informed consent. Before completing, please read "Important Statement Regarding Informed Consent" attached to the end of this form.

(a) Will you provide to the participants a full explanation of the research prior to their



## participation?

⊠ Yes □ No

If no, please elaborate and provide a copy of the debriefing statement:

### (b) Is substitute consent involved (e.g., children, <u>vouths under 16</u>, incompetent adults, etc.)?

☐ Yes ⊠ No

If yes, please elaborate and provide a separate assent form:

### (c) Is deception involved?

☐ Yes ⊠ No

If yes, please elaborate and provide a copy of the debriefing statement (including issues around debriefing, if applicable):

### (d) Will individuals remain anonymous?

Please note that it is expected that participants remain anonymous unless participants explicitly have given their permission otherwise.

$\boxtimes$	Yes
	No

If no, please elaborate:

#### (e) Will the data be kept confidential?

Please note that it is expected that the data be kept confidential unless the participants explicitly have given their permission otherwise.

$\boxtimes$	Yes
	No

If no, please elaborate:

### (f) How will data security and management be addressed?

Please provide details regarding proposed measures for safeguarding information – in particular personally identifiable data - for the full life cycle of information: its collection, use, dissemination, retention and/or disposal. At a minimum, researchers should **consider the full implications of the data collection, use, retention and destruction/archiving when developing data security and management plans.** (Researchers are encouraged to review the <u>Data Security Guidelines</u> for reference re their responsibilities for data management).

Collection: the names of participants will be coded so as to protect identity, the names of schools

and public libraries will not be given, instead some general demographic of the neighbhourhood will be supplied. Data will be kept on an office computer and destroyed by December 2016.

### (g) How will informed consent be obtained? (Please check all that are applicable):

Informed Consent Form (please attach draft version)

Letter\* (please attach draft version)

Verbally\* (please attach draft approximation of what participants will be verbally told)

Online Consent Form\*\* (please attach draft version)

\*If informed consent is being obtained by letter or verbally, please provide a rationale regarding why an informed consent form is not being used:

\*If online consent is being obtained, please indicate the website where the questionnaire/ survey will be hosted:

# 10. Is there any additional information that you would like to add that may assist the HPRC in reviewing your protocol?

I have examined the guidelines and principles detailed above, and *the Senate Policy for the Ethics Review Process for Research Involving Human Participants*, and affirm that, to the best of my knowledge, this research conforms thereto. I hereby undertake to notify the Human Participants Review Committee if I make any major procedural changes involving the use of human participants on this project. I will also notify the Human Participants Review Committee if any unforeseen risks not specified in the research proposal appear. In such a case, the study will be suspended pending clarification.

Signature of Principal Investigator (PI)	Date				
Signature of Faculty Advisor (if PI is a student)	Date				
Section to insert Digital Signatures (if applicable):					

Electronic Signature of Principal Investigator (PI)

Electronic Signature of Faculty Advisor (if PI is a student)

Date

Click here to enter a date.

Date

### Item 9 - Important Statement Regarding Informed Consent

- A. The HPRC has adopted the position that all human participants (e.g., interviewees, research subjects, community members, etc) have the right to be informed of:
  - the nature of the research (hypotheses, goals and objectives, etc.);
  - the research methodology to be used (e.g., medical procedures, questionnaires, participant observation, etc.);
  - any risks or benefits;
  - their right not to participate, not to answer any questions, and/or to terminate participation at anytime without prejudice (e.g., without academic penalty, withdrawal of remuneration, etc.)
  - their right to anonymity and confidentiality;
  - any other issues of which the participants should be aware that are relevant to specific protocols and research projects.
- B. The HPRC recognizes that the manner the researcher uses to obtain the informed consent varies according to the nature of the research, status of the participants, and culturally-specific norms. Although the HPRC requires that the principles of informed consent (outlined in A. above) be met, it is very flexible in how this consent is obtained. The HPRC will accept any of the three methods outlined below:
  - 1. <u>Informed consent form:</u> The traditional informed consent form is the standard for research involving human participants. This would detail the principles outlined in A. above, and require the participants' signatures.
  - 2. <u>Letter:</u> Where the traditional informed consent form is not appropriate (e.g., interviews with artists or government officials, mass mailed questionnaires, etc.), the researcher may wish to seek permission through a letter inviting them to participate. This letter must nonetheless incorporate the principles of informed consent outlined in A. above.
  - 3. <u>Verbal statement:</u> In some instances, where written communication is not feasible (children, illiterate adults, certain communities), researchers can relay the principles outlined in A. above verbally.
  - 4. <u>Online Consent form:</u> Researchers conducting surveys on-line must obtain consent from the participants. Recognizing that obtaining a signature is not feasible; researchers are advised that consent must be obtained in an alternate manner. Most commonly this can be achieved by including a "checkbox" function whereby participants can click on the box indicating they agree to participate or the box which indicates that they do not agree to participate.

Although it is impossible to come up with *one* generic model that will suffice for every research endeavour, an Informed Consent Form Template is available for your review and assistance on the <u>York Research website</u>.

C. The HPRC recognizes that researchers completing this protocol may not be at the stage of their research where they are able to provide this information. Nonetheless, the HPRC requires that a "best effort" draft be attached to this protocol. <u>PROTOCOLS THAT DO NOT ATTACH THIS INFORMATION (CONSENT</u> DOCUMENT) WILL BE RETURNED TO THE RESEARCHER.